DANCE

This aspect of Bakhtiari values is perhaps seen at its most evocative in the "CHUB BAZI" -stick dance, done by the men. The dance is not exclusively Bakhtiari. It is found in various forms among the other tribal groups in the Zagros mountains.

The stick dance can be considered as the expression of how

Bakhtiari nomadic experience is conceptualized. In the dance

the very nature of Bakhtiari experience of the world and how to

operate in that world is symbolised. It is in effect a variant, the

Bakhtiari version of the dance of life. A complete description and

analysis of all the dances is necessary to reveal the depth of

meanings to be found in the dances. For the moment the male stick

dance will be concentrated on, which distills what it means to be

a Bakhtiari man. The dance is in effect a metaphor of becoming and

being Bakhtiari. The dance style has changed somewhat this centruy

and it is possible to correlate the change in style to changes in

the political and social experince of the Bakhtiaris.

The Stick dance is dance by two men, opponents or protagaonists.

One dances carries a long heavy stick up to 4 or 5 feet lang.— he

is the defender. The other carries a shorter, flexible stick, called

"tarki" which means stick or branch. With this stick he attacks his

opponent. The object of the attack is to strick the opponent below

the level of the knee. The only permissable defence is by leaping

up in the air, or from side to side, since the major rule is that

the long stick must be planted on the ground and not moved.

The dance is dramatic, punctuated with wild yells as the attacker dances round the defendent. He signals his attack with a high yell, accompanied with encouraging shouts of encouragement and jeers by the surrounding audience, all of whomare anxious to participate.

The dance is accompanied by drum and Korna or Saz, both of which are horn instruments. The pulsating rhythm of the music on the horns is punctuated by the banging of the drum. The drum consists of the two participants dancing in wide circles, the llng stick usually held aloft, while the dancer with the shorter stick held in both hands twirls the stick above his head. Many different styles are seen in the particular movements of the stick. While circling in an anti clockwise direction, the two dancers totaly ignore each othe r. They dance alone preoccupied with their own individual style. The movement is basically a series of hopping motions with the leg lifted very high in a backward movement, bent at the knee, with a double bounce of the ankle. They dance for some time as isolated, distinct and separated individuals in a wide circle and then the attacker gives a loud warning yell, the signal that he is about to attack. His opponent plants his long stick on the ground and prepares to defend himself. For the first time the dancers face each other separated by the long stcik which is now used as a prop whereby the defender can leap sideways or upwards to avoid being struck a painful blow on the lower leg or feet. They are now directly oriented

towards each other in an inescapable social relationship defined in terms of mu self assertiveness, a competitive relationship with a specific outcome - either a blow successfuly struck, or a blow effectively thwarted.

The attacker then proceeds to dance backwards and forwards in front of the defender, grimacing ferociaouly, letting loose intimidating shouts. Making mock attacks, dancing right up to his opponent and glaring fiercely straight into his face. He feights from side to side, in threatening motions.

The attacking weapon-the stick-is held in three basic positions from which the final assault can be launched. The stick can be held horisontally touching the forehead on the front of the face.

It can be held on top of the head, bisecting the head as it were, and the dancer dances up to his opponent weaving the stick in small circles holding it firm, horizontally on the head. Or the stick may be held horizontally again, behind the back, by both hands. In this prosition, unlike the other two, where the entire stick is visible, the stick is almost completely hidden behind the body of the dancer. The is is the most devious style since it is difficult to judge from which side of the body the attack will come. Stricking is done either from the right or left hands and is done in a flash.

There is much bluffing in the dance and the real experts are those with distinctive styles and the ability to decieve their opponent into jumping the wrong way.

The essence of the dance is that to succeed whether in defence or attack the dancefs have to decieve, bluff and move very quickly indeed. They have to outmonaoevre their opponent, and the only way to do this is to directly face one's opponent and try to read the intention of the other through the deceptive clues of body movement, expression on the face etc. Each has to see behind the face the persona presented to him, while at the same time trying to disguise his onw intentions. The truth, the reality is kept hidden as best as possible. The real self in other words is deeply and carefully hidden, a feature of much of Bakhtiari culture which exhibits a controlled public face with the private, domestic, or real face carefully disguised, hidden or in cases veiled.

The attack, when successful inflicts severe and painful blows, producing bruising and often lacerations. Again the pain of the blows is not allowed to register on the faces of the victims. They do not let it be known if they are in pain, disguising therefor, the effect which of the attack is; quite leterally having one's feet knocked from under one. To have oneself immobilised is a graphic image from people whose life style is one in which movement is forms a central role. To be made lame, or to be maimed, diminished one's capacity to act effectively as a nomad,

The dance therefore acts out a major premise of pastoral nomadic lifeself assertiveness and preventing one's oponents, other social beings with whom one inevitably comes in contacts from overcoming one. nterp.

The dance is a summation of the Bakhtiari mode of definition of self and the other. Here the other means primarily other Bakhtiaris but by extension means all A 'Others', . The dance expresses a conceptualisation of the individual's relationship to society, and to other individuals. For the Bakhtiari this "individualism" is one that is realised only by participating actively in a world that is essentially aggressive and competitive, where externals, the social world are deceptive, if not illusory and that reality is something hidden, veiled, private and to be protected. To reveal the self is to invite the potentially dangerous intrusion of the outside social world, with its potential therefore of dominance. To avoid being dominated by the "other" is achieved by a process of constant assertive dissimulation - impression management as Goffman might say is crucial in this sort of way of life. The intrusion of an outside always defined or seen as potentially a thredat to o ne's symbolised by the attacking stick own integrity or even survival is which can be deflected by the long stick, which symbolises the social world of kin, possibly and is bound by rules. The stick perhaps symbolises the tree of life, the summation of experience which can not be uprooted - in the dance the rule is that once planted the long stick must not be moved or lifted.

Man is bound by his accum ulated experience, the way in which he fulfills the values of his culture and is therefore a victim of his own limitations, his own knowledge. He is bound always by so ial

rules and cultural conventions, he is a social being as well as a unique individual. He is bound by his own understanding of his social and cultural situation. The good dancer therefore is one who lives acknowledging the rules of his society(the big stick) yet can manipulate them, is not only defined by them, but is defined within them and therfore defines his self, by active participation and manipulation of the rules, rather than being passively defined by the rulesthemself. A true Bakhtiari, through living, creates and lives out the flexible rules of namadic life, rather than bwinf being dominated or crushed by a capricious ecology or a hostile state - Iran. He draws the conceptual boundARies of hiw world.

the dance encapsulates the defining values, or essence of being a Bakhtiari, and only through dancing do Bakhtiari learn the rules of this particular game. Like many dances and games, the stick dance is a training for life, analogous to a ritual of initiation, where the secrets of a culture are revealed to youths being grown into men. In this manner, the dance, with its blows - literally, teaches young Bakhtiari the value of deception, of participation in life which is insecure, often unknowable, hostile and wherer the individual to survive must interact socially with others.

Each dancer has three chances to attack and then the positions are reversed, with three turns at defence, before other dancers take over. This is done by someone grabbing the shoart attacking stick and when his turn to take to the defence position comes, the defender grops out and someone else takes the short attacking stick.

In this way each dancer learns the principles both of attack and defence. He learns to value of both for survival. There is no absolute winner or loser to this game. The cycle of life continues, though new personell down the generations take up the sticks of attack and defence and dance out the dance of *eife or experience. What is learned essentially is the principles whereby life- both individual and socail is conducted. The meaning of the dance is essentially abstract. It actually constructs the rules rather than a particular representation of actualisation of the rules. They done out a structual meanfalle

The basic tamets of Bakhtiari life are revealed in the dance how to live ones life - balancing outward looking, with inward
knowing, the self and others, dancing the dance of the self in a
social world. It epitomises the active, public world of men, where
interaxtion with others is unavoidable, so that being a Bakhtiari
is to interact with others in this more - free, individualistic to
a degree, subject to social rules, but above all assertive. Not to
interect with others is to invite domination. Not to carefully hide

Vactual intuition
or disguise ones "real! circumstances is to invite the effective
intrusion of others - again domination.

A major change in the style of dancing would appear to have taken place this century. The Bakhtiari say that they used to dance with two short sticks each, instead of the one long and one short as they do nowadays. Otherwise the circling hopping remains the saem as do the basic rules. They explain this change as making

it possible to hit harder. This style of dance can be seen in the film @RASS made in 1924. The older style of dance then could possibly be explained as reflecting a more isolated or secure general political dituation where neither attack not defence was so aggressive; as it is now. All four sticks were visible in the tradtitional stylw and also there is more room for manoevre with four short stikks. Not so easy to be taken by surprise, since both hands have sticks for attack or defence.

The modern dance is not only more aggressive, it is more deceptime especially as with only one stick to attack with, it is not so easy for the defender to block the attack from the right or the left.

Also the long stick is now planted firmly on the ground. Not nearly so much room to manoevre. The long stick could possibly represent the change in political fortunes of the Bakhtiari, where they come under severe political pressure by Reza Shah after 1925, and the Bakhtiari are now very much on the defenceive.

The dance is now very suggestive of a culture under threat from a punitive outside force - a truly violent other, and the long stick is a metopher for the Bakhtimari cultural survival. There are many levels on which this dance can be interpreted, but the one I like best and would seem to fit the changed circumstances of the tribe is that to survive in a more differentiated workd which is increasingly defined not by the Bakhtiari themselves but by the external, non-Bakhtiari Iranian Nation State, greater deception, dissimulation

The balance between internal and extenral forces has now swung very much in favour of the Iranian State and the Bakhtiari are in danger of being assimilated into the wider Persian culture. They are a culture under attack as a whole - symbolised by the long stick. The agressive outsider exists and has to be interected with The actual form of the dance at its most abstract clearly represents the constant need to balance the world. The Bakhtiari never have been an completely isoalted people. They have always interacted with non Bakhtiari. They have always been interdependent with thier agricultural and unrban neighbours. OVER THE CENTuries the nature of this relationsh ship has changed. AT TIMES THE Bakhtiari have been dominant, at others a relative status quo or mutual tolerations and non interference has persisted, and at others, particularly in the second half of the 20th century, the balance has swung to the State. These are the perennial consitions of tribal existance and they are well addapted to survive such temporal changes. Adaptability is built into the system. In spite of many centuries of facing a sometimes punitive outside forde the Bakhtiari as a tribal group have survived The secrets of their culture, the principles for survival are to be found in thier dance

a'far. Kalantars do not dance. That is for the younger men. Kalanters have lived out what the young men dance out and therefore learn.

Jafar Qoli, the Kalantar of the Bkahtiari was undoubtedly a good dancer qhen he was a young man.

The dance is a key metaphor, a symbol distilled to its most abstract form and therefore applicable to every walk of wife, to all circumatance no matter how varied or different, or unpredictable, where the self, the individual intereacts with an "other" with an outside which by definition if hostile, potentially destructive. Jafar Qoli, which in his experience on many many migrations, also symbolised by the dance where the "other" here is nature itself, a "wild" other, rather than a social other, plus living out this particular dance, is like other Kalantars, equipped for survival, albeit in somewhat different style in a world increasingly dominated by a non pastoral life style and encroached steadily by a state, with National institutions, constituted somewhat differently.

For Bakhtiari like Jaf"ar they have a life times experience coping with a hostile unpredicatable and insecure world. Ja'far, like all good Bakhtiaris has many faces, none of which are the True Ja'far.

This book, represents an attempt to see beneath the public face, an excercise that can only ever be partly successful. Much of the essential Jaffar will remain inviolate, unpenetrated by the film crew or the anthropologist. He is a man who remains detatched, an observer and protector of his own integrity, of his own identity. Such a man can with confidence expose himself to the selective eye of the outsider and retain his self intact, and only partially discovered. He continues to live in these mountains with the new world of Iran, remaining in spite of many apparant changes

in his life, what he learned to be over many years, a Kalantar, a Babadi and a Bakhtiari.

The years of learning to identify oneself from within make for the retention of an identity which was forged in the mountains, and the rivers, the snow stroms of the migration. A man free but bound by time and place. A am man truly in place, centred within himself, facing a world that he can only very partially control, but one that will not diminish the man. The Bakhtiari carry their world within them as they move, with thier homes and possession through the mountains, the world they live in. The western preoccupation for finding a self which to easily is defined by circumstances is alæen to this way of life, where the slef defines the circumstance.

This way af looking at the world from within is also expressed throughout their culture in many differenct ways.

The use of reflecting surfaces, such as pools, mirrors, moonlight, recurring images in their songs and poems and rituals explicitly states the illusory nature of the phenomenal world. The real world lies within the self. Wisdom is of the soul, and out of wisdom, that special knowledge stem the values of this nomadic society.

The image of wind, which moves free in the world, untamable by man, an entity of nature is a metaphor often used to describe the nomads. In opposition to the peasants, people of the earth or of the door, the Bakhtiari are called <u>Badi</u> - people of the wind, who like the wind move thgough the world, free, perennial. The Bakhtiari are true to the element of the air, known by the effect of its presence.